# Summary of Testing for Ignition Related Recalls 14V355

**General Motors** 

2015/07/29

### Abstract

In-vehicle testing was performed to provide data supporting the investigation of potential recall vehicles. This purpose of the testing was to demonstrate:

- Unintended key rotation could be replicated using the severe road surfaces at the Milford Proving Ground.
- Removal of all items from the key ring would eliminate unintended key rotation during severe road events.
- The recall repair would eliminate unintended key rotation during severe road events.
- That unintended key rotation would not occur due to contact with the driver's knee during vehicle operation (knee-key).

A combined total of over 400 on-road tests and knee-key evaluations were performed using 22 vehicles. The results demonstrated the Milford Proving Ground was an acceptable test site to replicate the issue, the temporary and permanent solutions resulted in zero unintended key rotations for any of the tested vehicles, and that no unintended key rotations occurred during normal operation due to contact with the driver's knee for any of the tested vehicles.

# Theory: Removing Everything from Key Ring

With no weight attached to the key ring, the remainder of the system is symmetric; therefore, input torque due to jarring events in any direction is negligible. Vertical example below:

<u>Sample Input torque calculations at rest</u>:  $\tau = F * d$ 

- F = Ring weight \* g level = 0.031 Newtons \* 1
- Slot length in key head = 2.2 cm
- $d = \text{Lever arm} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ slot length } * \cos \alpha = 0.95 \text{ cm}$
- $\tau$  = Static torque (F\*d) = 0.031 N \* 0.95cm = 0.03 N\*cm

Input torque must exceed system resistance torque to cause rotation.

	Dynamic
Vertical "g" level	Torque (N*cm)
33	1
67	2
133	4
267	8
533	16

Table 1a: Dynamic Torque vs. Vertical "g"



Fig 1a: Typical ignition key with ring attached

# Theory: Replace Key Slot with Small Hole

The input torque due to jarring events in any direction is negligible due to small lever arm. Vertical example below:

<u>Sample Input torque calculations</u>:  $\tau = F * d$ 

- F = 3.1 Newtons (with 0.7lb of keys added)
- Slot length in key head = 2.2 cm
- $d = \text{Lever arm} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ slot length } * \cos \alpha = .95 \text{ cm}$
- $\tau$  = Static torque (F\*d) = 3.1 N \* 0.95cm = 3 N\*cm (@1g)

Input torque must exceed system resistance torque to cause rotation.

Lever Arm (cm)	Dynamic Torque (N*cm) at 12g with 0.7lb suspended from key ring
0.1	4
0.2	7
0.4	15
0.8	30

Table 1b: Dynamic Torque vs. Lever Arm Length



Fig 1b: Ignition key with 0.7lb of keys attached

### Theory: Pre Recall System

#### Input Torque = Input Force \* Lever Arm

#### **System Resistance Torque =**

Switch torque due to detent + torque caused by friction of rotating components



#### Why does removing everything from key ring prevent rotation?

Removing everything from key ring makes input force negligible

Input Torque = Input Force \* Lever Arm

#### **System Resistance Torque =**

Switch torque due to detent + torque caused by friction of rotating components



General Motors

#### Why does the small hole prevent rotation?



General Motors



**Pre Recall** – Heavy objects (large **F**) hanging from slotted key (large **d**) can cause sufficient input torque to rotate key

<u>Removing everything from key ring</u> – Without significant weight (small F) hanging from key, insufficient input torque is generated to overcome the resistance torque

**<u>Recall</u>** – Changing from a slot to a small hole reduces the lever arm (small d) making system insensitive to weight or low switch torque

Fig 3

 $\mathbf{F}$  = force due to mass hung from key and road input  $\mathbf{d}$  = lever arm from key slot  $\mathbf{R}$  = system resistance torque

## Test Overview

- Inertial
  - Conducted full vehicle tests on severe road surfaces with 0.7 lb of keys attached to the key ring.
    - If rotation occurred, weight attached to key ring was incrementally reduced until rotation did not occur.
    - Also, if rotation occurred, an insert was added to the key effectively changing the slot to a small hole and the test was repeated with 0.7lb of keys.
  - Aggressive Road tests:
    - Ride and Handling loop @ posted speeds
       Cubilete @ 10 15 MPH
    - Belgian Blocks durability schedule
    - Pothole #1 strikes
    - Pothole #2 strikes

- Panic stop from 10 15 MPH
- R&H Chatter @ 55 45 MPH
- R&H Angled RR crossing @ 70 80 mph
- Unintended rotation due to driver's knee contacting key
  - Three evaluators representing the extremes of standing height (99<sup>th</sup> percentile male, 50<sup>th</sup> percentile male, and 5<sup>th</sup> percentile female) assessed their ability to rotate the ignition key away from Run with their knee.
    - If they were able to do so, they were asked to quantify the ease, and if they were in a normal driving position.

## **Overview of Data Collected**

- Ignition State: Key position was monitored by the driver
  - Previous testing validated that driver observation of ignition key state correlates 100% with electrically monitored position.
- **Dynamic Measurements:** 
  - Acceleration: A single DC Tri-axial accelerometer was mounted to steering column or dash in proximity of ignition switch
- <u>Static Measurements</u>:
  - Ignition System Torque from Run to Accessory
  - Ignition Switch Torque from Run to Accessory
  - Downward force required to rotate key away from Run

### **Belgian Blocks**

A replica of Belgian granite block roads that are very rough, including dips and bumps.



Quarter

Figure 4a

Figure 4b

Figure 4c

#### Severe Pothole Strikes



Figure 5a - Pothole 1



**General Motors** 

### Cubilete

- A replica of a high severity rough road in Mexico, made of river rocks
- Driven at 10 15 mph



# Ride and Handling Loop



Figure 7a: Chatter Bumps from 55 – 45 MPH – A series of evenly spaced bumps that excite suspension motion. Frequency (cycles per second) = mph /3 Figure 7b: Ride & Handling Angled RR crossing @ 60 – 80 MPH -An elevated railroad crossing that is not perpendicular to the road direction

2015/07/29

### Panic Stops

- Panic stops conducted on a smooth and level road surface.
- Consisted of light acceleration to speeds of 10 to 15 mph, followed by a full and rapid brake pedal application.



#### **Test Results**

#### Inertial

- Results:
  - Slotted Key No rotation occurred on any vehicle when using a slotted key and weight was less than 0.2 lb.
  - Insert Key No rotation occurred on any vehicle when the insert was installed in the key, even with 0.7 lb of keys.
  - No rotation occurred due to driver contact with key during any of these tests
- Unintended rotation due to driver's knee contacting key
  - Results:
    - None of the evaluators were able to rotate the ignition key away from Run in a normal driving position.

# **Test Procedure and Results**

#### Inertial

- Conducted full vehicle tests on severe road surfaces with 0.7 lb of keys attached to the key ring.
  - If rotation occurred, weight attached to key ring was incrementally reduced until rotation did not occur.
  - Also, if rotation occurred, an insert was added to the key effectively changing the slot to a small hole and the test was repeated with 0.7lb of keys.
- Aggressive Road tests:
  - Ride and Handling loop @ posted speeds
  - Belgian Blocks durability schedule
  - Pothole #1 strikes
  - Pothole #2 strikes

- Cubilete @ 10 15 MPH
- Panic stop from 10 15 MPH
- R&H Chatter @ 55 45 MPH
- R&H Angled RR crossing @ 70 80 mph

- Results:
  - Slotted Key No rotation occurred on any vehicle when using a slotted key and weight was less than 0.2 lb.
  - Insert Key No rotation occurred on any vehicle when the insert was installed in the key, even with 0.7 lb of keys.
  - No rotation occurred due to driver contact with key during any of these tests
- Unintended rotation due to driver's knee contacting key
  - Three evaluators representing the extremes of standing height (99<sup>th</sup> percentile male, 50<sup>th</sup> percentile male, and 5<sup>th</sup> percentile female) assessed their ability to rotate the ignition key away from Run with their knee.
    - If they were able to do so, they were asked to quantify the ease, and if they were in a normal driving position.
  - Results:
    - None of the evaluators were able to rotate the ignition key away from Run in a normal driving position.

#### **General Motors**

#### 14V355 Vehicles

(Data arranged by platform)

	MODEL	MODEL	DESCRIPTIVE INFO. TO
MAKE	<b>SERIES</b>	YEAR	PROPERLY IDENT. VEH.
Buick	W	2005-9	LaCrosse
Chevrolet	W	2006-14	Impala
Chevrolet	W	2006-7	Monte Carlo
Cadillac	K	2000-5	DeVille
Cadillac	K	2006-11	DTS
Buick	Н	2006-11	Lucerne

Table 1

# 2006-2014 Impala, 2006-2007 Monte Carlo, 2005-2009 LaCrosse, 2006-2011 Lucerne

#### **VEHICLE TESTING**

Vehicle				2007 Chevi 10746	rolet Impala 2 miles			$\frown$			2007 Chevr 87057	olet Impala ' miles		$\bigwedge$
Key				Overmold 1 - sl	ot			Insert		C	)vermold 1 - Sl	ot		Insert
Weight (lb)	0.7	0.63	0.55	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.7	0.63	0.55	0.4	0.3	0.7
Ride and Handling loop @ posted speeds	G							G	G					G
Begian Blocks RLDA schedule	G							G	G					G
Pothole #1 @ 25 MPH	R	R	R	R	G	G	G	G	G					G
Pothole #2 @ 25 MPH	R	R	R	R	R	R/G	G	G	R	R	R	R	G	G
Cubilete @ 10 MPH	G							G	G					G
Panic stop from 10 mph	G							G	G					G
R&H Chatter @ 45 - 55MPH Hz=MPH/3	G							G	G					G
R&H Angled RR crossing @ 70 mph	G							G	R	G				G
5% Female Knee Key Evaluation														
50% Male Knee Key Evaluation														1
99% Male Knee Key Evaluation														
Legend: G - indicates ignition did not rotate R/G - indicates multiple tests with differing results	Table	2						X						
R - indicates ignition turned out of Run Indicates driver was unable to rotate key with knee in a normal driving position			l i	nsert Ke nsert wa	y - No r is instal	otation led in tl	occurr ne key,	ed on ar even wi	ny vehi th 0.7 l	cle whe b of key	n the /s.			

# 2006-2014 Impala, 2006-2007 Monte Carlo, 2005-2009 LaCrosse, 2006-2011 Lucerne (continued)

#### **VEHICLE TESTING**

Vehicle			2010 Chev 10902	rolet Impala 3 miles		$\frown$			2006 Buid 8570	ck Lacrosse 4 miles		$\frown$		20	007 Buick Luce 90051 miles	rne	$\frown$
Key		C	Overmold 1 - S	lot		Insert			Overmold 1 - S	lot		Insert		Overmo	old 1 - Slot		Insert
Weight (lb)	0.7	0.63	0.55	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.63	0.55	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.63	0.55	0.4	0.7
Ride and Handling loop @ posted speeds	G					G	R	R	G			G	G				G
Begian Blocks RLDA schedule	G					G	G					G	G				G
Pothole #1 @ 25 MPH	G					G	R	R	G	G		G	G				G
Pothole #2 @ 25 MPH	R	R	R	R	G	G	R	R	R	R	G	G	R	R	R	G	G
Cubilete @ 10 MPH	G					G	G					G	G				G
Panic stop from 10 mph	G					G	G					G	G				G
R&H Chatter @ 45 - 55MPH Hz=MPH/3	G					G	G	G	G			G	G				G
R&H Angled RR crossing @ 70 mph	G					G	R	R	G			G	G				G
5% Female Knee Key Evaluation																	
50% Male Knee Key Evaluation																	
99% Male Knee Key Evaluation																	
Legend: G - indicates ignition did not rotate R/G - indicates multiple tests with differing results R - indicates ignition turned out of Run Indicates driver was unable to rotate key with knee in a normal driving position	Table	23															
					Insert insert	t Key - I t was in	No rota Istalleo	ation c d in the	occurre e key, e	d on a even w	ny vel ith 0.7	hicle wł 7 lb of k	nen th eys.	e			

#### 1998-2004 Deville

#### **VEHICLE TESTING**

2004 Cadillac DTS2007 Cadillac DTS90611 miles86755 miles	S					
Vehicle		$\frown$				
Key Overmold 1 - Slot Insert Overmold 1 - Slot	Insert Overmold 1 - Slot					
Weight (lb)         0.7         0.7         0.63         0.55	0.4	0.7				
Ride and Handling loop @ posted speeds G G G		G				
Begian Blocks RLDA schedule G G G		G				
Pothole #1 @ 25 MPH G G G		G				
Pothole #2 @ 25 MPH G G R R R	G	G				
Cubilete @ 10 MPH G G G		G				
Panic stop from 10 mph R G G G		G				
R&H Chatter @ 45 - 55MPH Hz=MPH/3 G G G		G				
R&H Angled RR crossing @ 70 mph G G G		G				
5% Female Knee Key Evaluation						
50% Male Knee Key Evaluation						
99% Male Knee Key Evaluation						
Legend: Chindinates ignition did not notate Table 4	/					
D/C_indicates rgilition du not rotate						
differing results		0.0014.544				
Insert Key - NO rotation occurre	eu on	any ve				
insert was installed in the key, a	even v	with 0				
with knee in a normal driving position						

### Conclusions

- Over 400 tests were performed at GM's Milford Proving Ground involving extreme maneuvers on severe road surfaces. When
  sufficient weight was attached to the key ring the unintended key rotation condition was replicated. There were no instances of
  unintended key rotation due to contact with the driver in any of these tests.
- If unintended key rotation occurred with 0.7lb attached to the key ring, weight was incrementally reduced and the test was
  repeated until rotation did not occur. No vehicle test demonstrated unintended key rotation at or below 0.2lb. The key ring alone
  weighs several hundred times less than 0.2lb, therefore it will not cause unintended rotation due to severe road inputs.
- In any test event where unintended key rotation occurred, the test was repeated with a key insert that effectively changed the slot to a small hole. Every test with the insert demonstrated robustness to unintended key rotation with 0.7lb attached to the ignition key validating the effectiveness of the solution.
- Three evaluators representing the extremes of standing height assessed their ability to rotate the ignition key away from Run with their knee. None of the evaluators were able to rotate the ignition key away from Run in a normal driving position.

Note: As stated on NHTSA's website, safety belts should always be worn, even when riding in vehicles equipped with air bags. Air bags are designed to work with safety belts, not alone. By keeping drivers in a secure position, safety belts help all drivers maintain control of the vehicle in emergency situations.